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Introduction
Let’s assume that as an Occupational Safety and Health professional you are doing everything to foster a safer work environment, offering appropriate employee training sessions, following regulations and keeping up with best practices to prevent occupational injuries/illnesses.

One simple way to measure the effectiveness of your Safety Management System (SMS) is to monitor two quantifiable Lagging Key Performance Indicators (KPI).

Lagging KPI #1 – Injury Case Rate per 100 full-time employees: The information needed includes recordable injuries and illnesses (per OSHA CFR 1904.7), number of employees, working hours.

Lagging KPI #2 – Cost of Injuries and Illnesses: The direct costs can be obtained from the Workers Compensation reports or, if unavailable, can be estimated.

Hypothetical example: Calculating Lagging KPIs #1 and #2 (Incidents, Costs and Savings): Let’s assume you have access to six years (or quarters or months) of data showing the number of work-related injuries or illnesses that required medical treatment beyond first aid, the date each injury occurred and the average number of employees on staff during each year. The data can be tabulated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of &quot;Recordable&quot; Injuries</th>
<th>Average Number of Employees</th>
<th>Working Hours per year (assuming 2,000 hours per employee per year)</th>
<th>Total Recordable Case Rate</th>
<th>Total Injuries Direct Costs (assuming $4,000 per injury)</th>
<th>Indirect Costs (assuming 160% over Direct Costs)</th>
<th>Total Injury Costs</th>
<th>Costs Variations (each year versus Year 1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$64,000</td>
<td>$104,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>$32,000</td>
<td>$51,200</td>
<td>$83,200</td>
<td>-$20,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>$38,400</td>
<td>$62,400</td>
<td>-$41,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>$38,400</td>
<td>$62,400</td>
<td>-$41,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$32,000</td>
<td>$52,000</td>
<td>-$52,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td>$38,400</td>
<td>$62,400</td>
<td>-$41,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please, refer to the “OSHA Safety Pays Program” [https://www.osha.gov/safetypays/] for more information about indirect cost calculation.

Although the information and recommendations contained in this document have been compiled from sources believed to be reliable, the National Safety Council makes no guarantee as to, and assumes no responsibility for, the correctness, sufficiency or completeness of such information or recommendations. Other or additional safety measures may be required under particular circumstances.
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Observations
- There were no changes in the number of employees (200) and the direct costs per recordable injury ($4,000) from Year 1 through Year 6. This may indicate there were no major organizational disruptions for the period.
- The Total Recordable Case Rate improved after Year 1.
- Is the performance improvement attributable to any safety initiatives launched during this period? The assumption here is that nothing good or bad happens entirely by chance.
- The performance improvement from Year 2 through Year 6 resulted in savings of $197,600.
- The downtrend was interrupted from Year 3 onward.
- The injury rate plateaued from Year 4 through Year 6. What factors contributed to it? Employee complacency, were new hires rushed to the operations without proper training, or a combination of several factors?
- Could the downtrend have been maintained by introducing adjustments to the SMS?

Summary
- The monitoring of Injury & Illness Rates quantifies the effectiveness of the SMS in preventing occupational incidents/illnesses.
- The calculation of the savings attributable to incident/illness prevention can be determined based on the Total Cost of injuries and illnesses.
- The monitoring of Lagging KPIs can help provide a road map to the where/when/what/how adjustments in the SMS.
- It is important to make continual improvements to the SMS so you don’t lose ground or reach a temporary pause in the pursuit of reducing employee injuries/illnesses.
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